

PROCEDURAL NOTES

The basis of the acceptance decisions for the conference is the evaluation of the papers submitted to the Conference Program Committee before the submission deadline.

Upon an initial evaluation in terms of general quality and thematic fit, each paper is sent to a reviewer who possesses the competence in the field from which the paper originates. The reviewers return their comments about the quality and relevance of the papers back to the Conference Program Committee.

The opinion of at least one peer reviewer per paper is solicited. In some cases, the opinion of additional reviewers is necessary to make an adequate decision.

If the reviewers determine that their comments are useful for the author to improve the quality of the paper, the Conference Program Committee may ask the author to modify the paper according to them.

However, the review process remains completely anonymous at any given time. The reviewers will not be informed about the names or affiliations of the authors and vice versa.

Authors who present their work at the conference are invited to submit their full papers to the conference proceedings for publication.

The authors should provide only their entirely original works, and make sure that the works and/or words of other researchers used in the paper have been appropriately cited or quoted.

To avoid any kind of plagiarism is an essential aspect of publication ethics. As plagiarism are considered the following forms: submitting another author's paper as own original research, copying or paraphrasing other works without attribution and appropriating the results from another's research. Submitting manuscripts that have already been published in other conference proceedings and journals, or papers describing repeatedly the same research results also represent unethical publishing behavior that will not be accepted.